Filed: 11/10/2003

Attorney Docket No.: RSW920030176US1

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

(Currently amended) A computer implemented method for a pre-deployment analysis
of a plurality of software components of an application prior to deployment of the
application, comprising:

including, in an installation package for the application, a data structure that provides, for each of the plurality of software components from the application, a software component deployment dependency data, an indication of necessary software components for an operation of each of the plurality of software components being installed, and an indication of incompatibility with a previously installed software component; and

loading the installation package into a memory connected to a computer, <u>and</u>,
using the computer connected to the storage and a program installed in a memory
of the computer so configured by the installation package, performing the steps of:

determining a first plurality of software components previously installed on a system;

determining a second plurality of software components to be installed on the system;

accessing a third plurality of software component deployment dependency

data;

Filed: 11/10/2003

Attorney Docket No.: RSW920030176US1

determining a fourth plurality of software components suitable for parallel installation;

determining an order in which the fourth plurality of software components can be grouped for a fifth plurality of parallel installations;

accessing a sixth plurality of metadata from the data structure regarding the second plurality of software components to be installed and accessing a seventh plurality of metadata regarding the first plurality of software components previously installed; and

analyzing the sixth plurality of metadata to determine an eighth plurality of potential conflicts between the second plurality of software components to be installed and the first plurality of software components previously installed on the system; wherein the pre-deployment analysis allows the second plurality of software

components to be installed in parallel and in a sequence of groups; and

wherein an installation time for the application is reduced.

2. (Canceled)

 (Previously presented) The computer implemented method of claim 1, further comprising updating the data structure with an identity of a ninth plurality of recently installed software components.

Filed: 11/10/2003

Attorney Docket No.: RSW920030176US1

4. (Previously presented) The computer implemented method of claim 1, further comprising providing a user with a plurality of options for the eighth plurality of potential conflicts.

- (Previously presented) The computer implemented method of claim 4, wherein a first option includes aborting an installation.
- (Previously presented) The computer implemented method of claim 4, wherein a second option includes continuing an installation.
- 7. (Previously presented) The computer implemented method of claim 6, further including, upon the exercise of the second option, recording an entry in a log indicative of a conflict and of a continuation of installation.
- 8. (Previously presented) The computer implemented method of claim 1, further comprising:

initiating a removal of a software component from the system; and identifying a tenth plurality of remaining software components which depend on the software component to be removed.

9. (Previously presented) The computer implemented method of claim 8, further comprising providing a user with a plurality of options if the tenth plurality of dependent remaining software components are identified.

Filed: 11/10/2003

Attorney Docket No.: RSW920030176US1

10. (Previously presented) The computer implemented method of claim 9, wherein a first

option includes aborting a removal.

11. (Previously presented) The computer implemented method of claim 9, wherein a

second option includes continuing a removal.

12. (Previously presented) The computer implemented method of claim 8, further

comprising:

identifying a first software component previously installed on the system which is

dependent upon a removed software component; and

determining an identity of a second software component upon which the first

software component depends.

13. (Previously presented) The computer implemented method of claim 12, further

comprising:

installing the second software component upon which the first software

component depends; and

creating a dependency link between the first software component and the second

software component.

14-40. (Canceled)

5

Filed: 11/10/2003

Attorney Docket No.: RSW920030176US1

41. (Currently amended) A computer implemented method of using a semantic model to increase the efficiency of deployment of an application to a target by maximizing parallel installation of application software components, the computer implemented method comprising:

accessing the semantic model to obtain a dependency information about the application software components of an application;

including a semantic model in an installation package of the application;

responsive to loading the installation package into a memory connected to a computer, using the computer so configured by the installation package to perform steps comprisine:

storing a first record of each of a plurality of $\underline{\text{the}}$ software components that is to be deployed in a read file;

storing a second record of each of a plurality of previously installed software components in a registry file;

when the read file is available to deploy, examining the registry file and accessing the semantic model to obtain a plurality of dependency information indicating a plurality of relationships among the plurality of the application-software components to be installed in the target and among a plurality of previously installed software components;

using the dependency information to group the <u>plurality of the application</u> software components into sets of software components with like dependency levels, wherein a first set of software components <u>from amongst the sets of software components</u> has no dependencies, a second set of software components <u>from amongst the sets of</u>

Filed: 11/10/2003 Attorney Docket No.: RSW920030176US1

software components has dependencies only on the first set of software components, and a third set of software components from amongst the sets of software components has

dependencies only on the first and second sets of software components;

installing the first set of software components in parallel;

responsive to completing installation of the first set of software

components, installing the second set of software components in parallel;

responsive to completing installation of the second set of software

components, installing the third set of software components in parallel;

when a component is installed, updating the registry file;

when a conflict is identified, taking an appropriate action; and

displaying a progress report by labeling the plurality of the software

components in the semantic model in a selected level of granularity.